Multivariate Saddle integrals, 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3 based on Analytic Combinatorics in Several Variables by Robin Pemantle and Mark C. Wilson

Presented by Lily Yen, notes by Lily and Steve

February 20, 2013

1 Review of single variable saddle integrals

Recall that Steve showed us:

$$\int_{\gamma} A(z) e^{-\lambda \phi(z)} dz$$

is asymptotic to

$$A(z_0)\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\phi''(z_0)\lambda}}e^{-\lambda\phi(z_0)}$$

and the first few terms in the expansion near the origin as $\lambda \to \infty$. Remember the proof where the first few coefficients were obtained via analytic inversion, and a mistake was found by Steve regarding the exponent of the big-Oh term.

2 Overview of 5.1

We continue with this set up with A as our amplitude and ϕ as the phase, both analytic functions, but this time of a vector argument \mathbf{z} along the contour C, a d-chain in \mathbb{C}^d . Compared to the one variable case where Theorem 4.1.1 covers all degrees of degeneracy of the phase function ϕ ($k \ge 2$), and all degrees of vanishing of the amplitude function A ($l \ge 0$), for the multivariate case ϕ has a much greater range of possibilities.

Recall that in one dimension, we take k = 2; for higher dimensions, we assume the *Hessian* matrix

$$\mathcal{H} := \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z_j \partial z_k}\right) \neq 0.$$

The Taylor series for ϕ expanded around the origin is

$$\phi(\mathbf{z}) = \phi(\mathbf{0}) + \mathbf{z}^T \nabla \phi(\mathbf{0}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{z}^T \mathcal{H} \mathbf{z} + O(|\mathbf{z}|^3),$$

hence the Hessian matrix represents twice the quadratic term in the phase, and its nonsingularity is a generalization of non-vanishing of the quadratic term in the univariate case.

Instead of the special phase function x^2 , we will use $S(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2$ to denote the standard quadratic. Parallel to the development of the univariate case, we will establish the result

$$A =$$
monomial $\phi =$ standard quadratic

coupled with a big-Oh result which allows us to integrate term by term to obtain asymptotics for the standard phase function.

Three main theorems:

Theorem 1 (5.1.1 Standard Phase). Let $A(\mathbf{x})$ be a real analytic function defined on a neighbourhood \mathcal{N} of the origin in \mathbb{R}^d with a series expansion

$$A(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_d} x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_d^{r_d} = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} a_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{r}}.$$

Let

$$I(\lambda) := \int_{\mathcal{N}} A(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\lambda S(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}.$$

Then an asymptotic series expansion for $I(\lambda)$ in increasing $|\mathbf{r}|$ is

$$I(\lambda) \sim \sum_{n} \sum_{|\mathbf{r}|=n} a_{\mathbf{r}} \beta_{\mathbf{r}} \lambda^{-(|r|+d)/2}$$

where $\beta_{\mathbf{r}} = 0$ if any r_i is odd, and

$$\beta_{2m} = \sqrt{\pi}^d \cdot \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(2m_j)!}{m_j! 4^{m_j}},$$

otherwise.

Theorem 2 (5.1.2 $\operatorname{Re}(\phi)$ has a strict minimum). Suppose that the real part of ϕ is strictly positive except at the origin and that its Hessian matrix \mathcal{H} is non-singular there. Let A be any analytic function not vanishing at the origin and define

$$I(\lambda) := \int_{\mathcal{N}} A(z) e^{-\lambda \phi(z)} dz.$$

Then

$$I(\lambda) \sim \sum_{l \ge 0} c_l \lambda^{-d/2-l},$$

where

$$c_0 = A(0) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}^{-d}}{\sqrt{\det(\mathcal{H})}},$$

and the choice of sign is defined by taking the product of the principal square roots of the eigenvalues of \mathcal{H} .

Theorem 3 (5.4.8 Critical point decomposition for stratified spaces). Let A and ϕ be analytic functions on a neighbourhood of a stratified space $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$. If ϕ has finitely many critical points on \mathcal{M} , then

$$I(\lambda) \sim (2\pi\lambda)^{-d/2} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} A(\mathbf{x}) e^{\lambda\phi(\mathbf{x})} \det(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}))^{-1/2}$$

where

 $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x})$ is the Hessian for ϕ at \mathbf{x} ,

and the sum is over the critical points \mathbf{x} at which the real part of ϕ is minimized.

3 5.2 Standard phase

Remember how Steve developed the single variate case by starting at the simplest case:

A =monomial and $\phi = x^2$.

We will begin with a proposition which evaluates a real integral exactly.

Proposition 4 (5.2.1). The integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2n} e^{-x^2} dx = \beta_{2n} = \sqrt{\pi} \cdot \frac{(2n)!}{n! 4^n}.$$

Note that the exponent of the monomial A is 2n, and the exponent of the monomial and monic ϕ is 2.

Proof. We will prove this proposition by induction.

The **basis step** is when n = 0. This is, up to a change of variables and observation of symmetry, the standard Gaussian integral and is in fact the definition of $\Gamma(1/2)$ – which is $\sqrt{\pi}$. This can be checked directly using the substitution $u = x^2$ in the integral.

The **inductive step** is to assume the result for n-1. We use integration by parts to get

$$\begin{split} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2n} e^{-x^2} dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n-1}}{-2} (-2x e^{-x^2}) dx \\ &= \frac{-x^{2n-1}}{2} \cdot e^{-x^2} \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(2n-1)x^{2n-2}}{-2} e^{-x^2} dx \\ &= 0 + \frac{2n-1}{2} \sqrt{\pi} \cdot \frac{(2n-2)!}{(n-1)!4^{n-1}}, \end{split}$$

by the inductive hypothesis, and the result follows from multiplying and dividing the expression by 2n.

Since the result for n-1 implies the result for n, by mathematical induction we have shown that the result of the proposition holds.

Now we can vary the phase function ϕ so that it is no longer monic, but has a factor of λ . This is stated in the next Corollary.

Corollary 5 (5.2.2).

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2n} e^{-\lambda x^2} dx = \beta_{2n} \lambda^{-1/2-n}$$

Proof. We just need a change of variables $y = \sqrt{\lambda}x$. This implies $dy = \sqrt{\lambda}dx$ and thus

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2n} e^{-\lambda x^2} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n \cdot \sqrt{\lambda}} y^{2n} e^{-y^2} dx = \lambda^{-n-1/2} \beta_{2n}.$$

Corollary 6 (5.2.3 Higher dimensional monomial integral). Let \mathbf{r} be a d-vector of nonnegative integers. Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} \dots x_d^{r_d} e^{-\lambda (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2)} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_d = \prod_{j=1}^d \beta_{r_j} \cdot \lambda^{-(d+|\mathbf{r}|)/2},$$

where $\beta_{r_j} = 0$ if r_j is odd (and thus the integral is nonzero only when each r_j is even).

Proof. When our integral is written out as a d-dimensional integral, you can see how integrating each dimension separately implies the integral has the value

$$\prod_{j=1}^{d} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_j^{r_j} e^{-\lambda x_j^2} dx_j \right) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \beta_{r_j} \lambda^{-(1+r_j)/2}$$
$$= \prod_{j=1}^{d} \beta_{r_j} \cdot \lambda^{-(d+|\mathbf{r}|)/2}$$

Proposition 7 (5.2.4 big-O estimate). Let A be any smooth function satisfying a big-O bound at the origin

$$A(\mathbf{x}) = O(|\mathbf{x}|^r)$$

where the norm is the Euclidean norm, and r is just some positive real number, not a vector as in previous corollary. Then the integral over any connected compact set K containing the origin may be bounded from above by

$$\int_{K} A(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\lambda S(\mathbf{x})} dx = O(\lambda^{-(d+r)/2}).$$

The implied constant on the right goes to zero as the constant in the hypothesis of the upper bound goes to zero.

- *Proof.* 1. Because K contains the origin, is connected, compact, and $A(\mathbf{x}) = O(|\mathbf{x}|^r)$ at the origin, there exists a constant c such that $|A(\mathbf{x})| \leq c|\mathbf{x}|^r$ in K.
 - 2. Let us create a sequence of sets that are intersections of K with either the ball

$$K_0 := \{ \mathbf{x} : |\mathbf{x}| \le \lambda^{-1/2} \}$$

or the shells

$$K_n := K \cap \{2^{n-1}\lambda^{-1/2} \le |\mathbf{x}| \le 2^n\lambda^{-1/2}\}.$$

These sets help us say more precisely how $|A(\mathbf{x})|$ is bounded.

3. We can also bound

$$\int_{K_0} e^{-\lambda S(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} \le \int_{K_0} d\mathbf{x} \le c_d \lambda^{-d/2},$$

for some constant c_d . Thus, combining the previous points gives

$$\left| \int_{K_0} A(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\lambda S(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} \right| \le c' \lambda^{-(r+d)/2}.$$

4. For $n \ge 1$, on K_n we use A's big-O bound to obtain

$$|A(\mathbf{x})| = O(|\mathbf{x}|^r) \le 2^{rn} \cdot c \cdot \lambda^{-r/2}.$$

5. We can use our bound on $|\mathbf{x}|$ between the shells to give us a bound on $|\mathbf{x}|^2$

$$2^{2n-2}/\lambda \le |\mathbf{x}|^2 \le 2^n/\lambda.$$

Thus,

$$e^{-\lambda S(\mathbf{x})} \le e^{-2^{2n-2}}.$$

6. Finally, the integral bound in K_n is

$$\int_{K_n} d\mathbf{x} \le 2^{dn} c_d \lambda^{-d/2}$$

7. Combining the last three bounds, we have the bound for the entire integral by summing over all the shells. Let

$$c'' = c \cdot c_d \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{(d+r)n} e^{-2^{2n-2}} < \infty.$$

Then

$$\int_{K} A(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\lambda S(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \int_{K_n} A(\mathbf{x}) e^{-\lambda S(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} \right| \le (c' + c'') \lambda^{-(r+d)/2}.$$

These four propositions and corollaries make it easier to construct the proof of Theorem 5.1.1. (Standard Phase).

Proof of Theorem 5.1.1. Write $A(\mathbf{x})$ as a power series up to degree N plus a remainder term:

$$A(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left(\sum_{|\mathbf{r}|=n} a_{\mathbf{r}} x^{\mathbf{r}} \right) + R(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $R(\mathbf{x}) = O(|\mathbf{x}|^{N+1}).$

Now we have a monomial part of A, along with a big-O estimate. Using Corollary 5.2.3 on the monomial integral and Proposition 5.2.4 on the big-O estimate thus implies the desired result:

$$I(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left(\sum_{|\mathbf{r}|=n} a_{\mathbf{r}} \beta_{\mathbf{r}} \lambda^{-(n+d)/2} \right) + O(\lambda^{-(N+1+d)/2}).$$

4 5.3 Real part of phase has a strict minimum

Here, we have the set up:

- 1. Let \mathcal{N} be a neighbourhood of the origin in \mathbb{R}^d .
- 2. We have an analytic $\phi : \mathcal{N} \to \mathbb{C}^d$ which is represented by a power series that converges on \mathcal{N} .
- 3. Such a ϕ may be extended to a holomorphic function on a neighbourhood $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the origin in complex *d*-dim space.
- 4. Now, suppose $\phi(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ and the real part of ϕ is non-negative on \mathcal{N} . This section's assumption that the real part of phase ϕ has a strict minimum implies that the gradient of ϕ must vanish at the origin.
- 5. We say that ϕ has a quadratically non-degenerate critical point at the origin if the quadratic part of ϕ is non-degenerate.
- 6. Recall in the expansion of ϕ where the quadratic part of ϕ is a quadratic form represented by

$$\frac{1}{2}z^T\mathcal{H}z$$

7. Non-degeneracy of a quadratic form means non-singularity of the Hessian \mathcal{H} ; the determinant of a quadratic form means the determinant of \mathcal{H} .

8. Review of Hessian behaviour under a change of variables: If $\psi : \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{C}^d$ is a biholomorphic map, $\nabla \phi(\psi(\mathbf{y})) = 0$ when $\psi(y) = x$, and the Hessian matrix \mathcal{H} exists there, then the Hessian matrix \mathcal{H}' of the composed map $\phi \circ \psi$ at \mathbf{y} is given by

$$\mathcal{H}' = J_{\psi}^T \mathcal{H} J_{\psi}$$

where J_{ψ} is the Jacobian matrix of the map ψ at **y**:

$$J_{\psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial y_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial y_d} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \psi_d}{\partial y_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \psi_d}{\partial y_d} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We need two lemmas before the proof of Theorem 5.1.2 ($\operatorname{Re}(\phi)$ has a strict minimum). The first lemma reassures us that near the origin, if ψ is not of our standard quadratic form $S(\mathbf{x})$ then we can find local coordinates to change \mathbf{x} into \mathbf{y} where a standard quadratic form is attained. The second lemma provides the equivalence between verifying the sign choice of a composed derivative in the multivariate case and a determinantal condition.

Lemma 8 (5.3.1). There is a bi-holomorphic change of variables $\mathbf{x} = \psi(\mathbf{y})$ such that

$$\phi(\psi(\mathbf{y})) = S(\mathbf{y}) = y_1^2 + \dots + y_d^2.$$

The differential

$$J_{\psi} = d\psi(0)$$
 satisfies $(\det J_{\psi})^2 = \frac{1}{\det(\mathcal{H}(\phi)/2)}$

Recall what Nicolas taught us about Morse theory – this lemma is the Morse Lemma. *Proof.* Let us do the easy part first: consider

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(S) = J_{\psi}^T \mathcal{H}(\phi) J_{\psi}.$$

Compute the Hessian of the standard quadratic form S to get $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(S) = 2I$, where I is the identity matrix. Then

$$1 = \det(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(S)/2) = \det\left(J_{\psi}^T \frac{\mathcal{H}(\phi)}{2} J_{\psi}\right) = \det(J_{\psi})^2 \cdot \det(\mathcal{H}(\phi)/2),$$

and thus

$$(\det J_{\psi})^2 = \frac{1}{\det(\mathcal{H}(\phi)/2)}$$

The long part is the change of variables where we break the part into three steps. Step 1 Rewrite $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ as an expansion in coordinates $x_j x_k$ multiplied by the entries of \mathcal{H} .

- Step 2 Use mathematical induction to morph the y_j 's one at a time into the standard quadratic form by assuming that none of the diagonal entries of the Hessian is 0.
- Step 3 Take care of the case when some diagonal entry of the Hessian is 0 by using a unitary conjugation.

Lemma 9 (5.3.2). Let $W \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$ be the set $\{\mathbf{z} : \operatorname{Re}(S(\mathbf{z})) > 0\}$. Pick any $\alpha \in \operatorname{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$ mapping \mathbb{R}^d into \overline{W} , and let $M := \alpha^{\dagger} \alpha$ be the matrix representing $S \circ \alpha$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be the projection onto the real part. Then $\pi \circ \alpha$ is orientation preserving on \mathbb{R}^d iff det α is the product of the principal square roots of the eigenvalues of M.

Proof. We will need lots of linear algebra in this proof.

First suppose $\alpha \in \operatorname{GL}_d(\mathbb{R})$. Then $M := \alpha^T \alpha$ is Hermition and thus has an eigendecomposition $M = P^{-1}DP$. As $zMz^T = (z\alpha^T)(z\alpha^T)^T = |z\alpha^T|^2 \ge 0$ for all z, we see that $yDy^T \ge 0$ by a change of variables. As D is a diagonal matix whose entries are the eigenvalues of M, these eigenvalues are positive. Therefore, the product of their principal square roots is positive.

The map π is the identity on \mathbb{R}^d , so an equivalent statement would be: The linear transformation α preserves orientation iff it has positive determinant. (This is true by definition).

In general, define $\alpha_t := \pi_t \circ \alpha$, where

$$\pi_t(\mathbf{z}) = \Re\{\mathbf{z}\} + (1-t)i\Im\{\mathbf{z}\}.$$

This should remind us of the homotopic map Nicolas showed us last semester.

For all $0 \le t \le 1$,

$$\pi_t(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \overline{W},$$

so $M_t := \alpha_t^T \alpha_t$ has eigenvalues with nonnegative real parts.

The product of the principal square roots of the eigenvalues is a continuous function on the set of non-singular matrices with no negative real eigenvalues. The determinant of α_t is a continuous function of t, and when t = 1 we have seen that it agrees with the product of principal square roots of eigenvalues of M_t ; thus by continuity, this is the correct sign choice for all $0 \le t \le 1$. We take t = 0 to prove the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 5.1.2: $Re(\phi)$ has a strict minimum. The power series we got from Theorem 5.1.1 allows us to extend ϕ to a neighbourhood of the origin in \mathbb{C}^d .

Using Lemma 5.3.1, we can apply the change of variables ψ to turn a random ϕ into a standard quadratic:

$$I(\lambda) = \int_{\psi^{-1}\mathcal{C}} A \circ \psi(\mathbf{y}) e^{-\lambda S(\mathbf{y})} \det(d\psi(\mathbf{y})) d\mathbf{y} = \int_{\psi^{-1}\mathcal{C}} \tilde{A}(\mathbf{y}) e^{-\lambda S(\mathbf{y})} d\mathbf{y},$$

where \mathcal{C} is a neighbourhood of the origin in \mathbb{R}^d with the standard orientation.

Here, we need to check that we can move the chain $\psi^{-1}C$ of integration back to the real plane. If successful, then Theorem 5.1.1 can be used to yield the desired expansion in powers $\lambda^{-(d/2+l)}$.

Take the real part of $S(\mathbf{z})$ and call it $h(\mathbf{z})$. The chain $\mathcal{C}' := \psi^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ lies in the region $\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^d : h(\mathbf{z}) > 0\}$ except when $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$, and in particular $h \ge \epsilon > 0$ on $\partial \mathcal{C}'$.

Next, we will define a homotopy from the identity map to the map π projecting out the imaginary part of \mathbf{z} . For any chain σ where the integration takes place, this homotopy induces a chain homotopy supported on the image of the support of σ under the homotopy. Let

$$H(\mathbf{z},t) := \Re\{\mathbf{z}\} + (1-t)i\Im\{\mathbf{z}\}$$

Then $H(\sigma)$ is a chain homotopy satisfying

$$\partial H(\sigma) = \sigma - \pi\sigma + H(\partial\sigma).$$

With $\sigma = C'$, in addition to observing that $S(H(\mathbf{z}, t)) \geq S(\mathbf{z})$, we see there is a d + 1-chain \mathcal{D} with

$$\partial \mathcal{D} = C' - \pi C' + C''$$

and \mathcal{C}'' supported on $\{h > \epsilon\}$.

Recall Stokes' Theorem: $\int \partial_D w = \int_D dw = 0$ when w is a holomorphic d-form. Here we use $\partial_D = C' - \pi C' + C''$ to obtain

$$\int_{C'} w = \int_{\pi C'} w - \int_{C''} w$$

Taking $w = \tilde{A}e^{-\lambda S}$ and noting $\int_{C''} w = O(e^{-\lambda \epsilon})$, this tells us

$$I(\lambda) = \int_{\pi C'} \tilde{A}(\mathbf{y}) e^{-\lambda S(\mathbf{y})} d\mathbf{y} + O(e^{-\lambda \epsilon}).$$

The integral in the above expression is looked after by the last lemma.